

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:		CHINGAUTH		PCT			
see fo	rm PCT/ISA/	/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
	-			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (page 2)		
	's or agent's file m PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	nal application P2004/05263		International filing date 10/22/2004	e (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 11/3/2003			
		sification (IPC) o	or both national classifica /06	ation and IPC	1		
Applicant Robert	Bosch GMB	Н		·			
1. This	opinion contain Box No. I Box No. II	s indications rela Basis of the op Priority	nting to the following iter	ms:			
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
V	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2. FUR	THER ACTIO	N					
Interr other	national Prelimi than this one to	nary Examining be the IPEA ar	Authority ("IPEA") exce	ept that this does not a notified the Internatio	be considered to be a written opinion of the pply where the applicant chooses an Authority nal Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written		
a writ	ten reply togeth	er, where approp		, before the expiration	, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form er expires later.		
For fi	urther options, s	see Form PCT/IS	SA/220.				
3. For fi	urther details, se	ee notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and	Name and mailing address of the ISA/			Authorized officer			
				Henegham, N	И		
Facsimile No.				Telephone No.			



International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052636

Box No. 1	11	Priority
1.	Con	following document has not yet been furnished: copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)). translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)). sequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been blished on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	inva	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found lid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is sidered to be the relevant date.
3. Addit	ional	observations, if necessary:





Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	2,3	YES
notely (N)	Claims	1,4-7	NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-7	NO NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YES
	Claims		NO NO
Citations and explanations:			·
see supplementary page			
		•	